

## AD-A274 272

USACERL Special Report F.-94/02 October 1993 QA Inspection Via Condition Monitoring

# Guidelines for Quality Assurance Inspection of Commercial Activities Contracts for Real Property Maintenance Activities

**Guide #2: Wastewater Systems** 

James H. Johnson Paul C. Bresnahan

A Quality Assurance (QA) Program allows the Army to evaluate and document a contractor's work performance. It depends on a QA Surveillance Plan (QASP). The QASP, which is based on the contract Performance Work Statement, lists contractor activities and the surveillance approach, number of items to be inspected, and an Acceptable Quality Level (AQL) for each activity. This series of 12 guides will help the Contracting Officer's Representative/Quality Assurance Evaluator by defining and clarifying the inspection tasks required by the QASP, which will facilitate inspection uniformity and effectiveness.

This guide discusses QA monitoring of wastewater collection and wastewater treatment systems.

94-00077

S ELECTE
JAN 0 5 1994
A

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

## Best Available Copy

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED

DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR

#### **USER EVALUATION OF REPORT**

REFERENCE: USACERL Special Report FF-94/02, Guidelines for Quality Assurance Inspection of Commercial Activities Contracts for Real Property Maintenance Activities, Guide #2: Wastewater Systems

Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below, tear out this sheet, and return it to USACERL. As user of this report, your customer comments will provide USACERL with information essential for improving future reports.

1. wh	Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for ich report will be used.)
	How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data or procedure, nagement procedure, source of ideas, etc.)
 3. sav	Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as manhours/contract dollars red, operating costs avoided, efficiencies achieved, etc.? If so, please elaborate.
4.	What is your evaluation of this report in the following areas?
	a. Presentation:
	b. Completeness:
	c. Easy to Understand:
	d. Easy to Implement:
	e. Adequate Reference Material:
	f. Relates to Area of Interest:
	g. Did the report meet your expectations?
	h. Does the report raise unanswered questions?

•	e what you think should be changed to make this report and future to your needs, more usable, improve readability, etc.)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- your move,,,,,,,,,,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5. If you would like to be contacted to or discuss the topic, please fill in the	by the personnel who prepared this report to raise specific questions following information.
Name:	
Telephone Number:	
Organization Address:	
6. Please mail the completed form to	:
Department o	f the Army

Department of the Army
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES
ATTN: CECER-IMT
P.O. Box 9005
Champaign, IL 61826-9005

#### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved COSS No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gethering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Weshington Headquerters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (9704-9185), Weshington, DC 20503.

1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)	2. REPORT DATE October 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COM Final	ERED
	TITLE AND SUBTITLE Guidelines for Quality Assur- for Real Property Maintenance	-		5. FUNDING NUMBERS 4A162784 AT41 SB-A51
6.	AUTHOR(S) James H. Johnson and Paul (	C. Bresnahan		
7.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S U.S. Army Construction Engi	s) AND ADDRESS(ES) ineering Research Laboratorio	es (USACERL)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
	P.O. Box 9005 Champaign, IL 61826-9005			SR FF-94/02
9.	SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY U.S Army Center for Public ATTN: CECPW-FM-S Bldg 358 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5516	Works (USACPW)		10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  Copies are available from the 22161.	National Technical Informat	ion Service, 5285 Port Royal	Road, Springfield, VA
128	DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATE Approved for public release;			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13.	ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A Quality Assurance (QA) Pr It depends on a QA Surveillar Statement, lists contractor act Acceptable Quality Level (AC Representative/Quality Assura QASP, which will facilitate in This guide discusses QA mon	nce Plan (QASP). The QASI ivities and the surveillance at QL) for each activity. This source Evaluator by defining an aspection uniformity and effection	P, which is based on the controproach, number of items to be ries of 12 guides will help the discretion tasectiveness.	act Performance Work e inspected, and an e Contracting Officer's ks required by the
14	SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
· <del>···</del> .	quality assurance			30
	real property maintenance acti wastewater treatment systems	ivities		16. PRICE CODE
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR

#### **FOREWORD**

This research was performed for the U.S. Army Center for Public Works (USACPW), under project 4A162784AT41, "Military Facilities Engineering Technology," Work Unit SB-A51, "QA Inspections Via Condition Monitoring." The technical monitors were Robert Hohenberg and George Cromwell, CECPW-FM-S.

The work was performed by the Facility Management Division (FF) of the Infrastructure Laboratory (FL), U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories (USACERL). Alan W. Moore is Acting Chief, CECER-FF, and Dr. Michael J. O'Connor is Chief, CECER-FL. Special appreciation is expressed to Robert D. Neathammer of CECER-FF and John H. Williamson, formerly of CECER-FF, for their contributions. The USACERL technical editor was Gloria J. Wienke, Information Management Office.

LTC David J. Rehbein is Commander of USACERL and Dr. L.R. Shaffer is Director.

#### **CONTENTS**

		Page
	SF 298	1
	FOREWORD	2
1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	GENERAL QA INSPECTION INFORMATION Inspection Organization and Planning Quality Assurance Surveillance Methods Increased Surveillance Decreased Surveillance	ON 7
3	WASTEWATER SYSTEM QA INSPECTION Wastewater Collection Wastewater Treatment	is 10
	METRIC CONVERSION TABLE	15
	ACRONYMS	16
	REFERENCES	16
	APPENDIX A: Inspection Sampling Tables APPENDIX B: QAE Inspection Worksheets	17
	Wastewater Collection	19
	Wastewater Treatment	24
	DISTRIBUTION	DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8
		Accesion For

Acces	ion For						
DTIC Unani	CRA&I N TAB Enounced Cation						
By Distrib	By Distribution /						
A	vailability Codes						
Dist	Avail and for Special						
A-1							

### GUIDELINES FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE INSPECTION OF COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES CONTRACTS FOR REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES GUIDE #2: WASTEWATER SYSTEMS

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### **Background**

A Quality Assurance (QA) program allows the Army to evaluate and document a contractor's performance. The Quality Assurance Evaluator (QAE) conducts skilled and carefully planned inspections aimed at verifying the satisfactory completion of contractor work. The inspections evaluate the quality, quantity, and timeliness of the services provided, not the contractor's methods used in performing the work. A good QA program promotes the best possible product within the terms of the standing contract.

A well organized QA program depends on a QA Surveillance Plan (QASP), which is prepared by the Government and contains the purpose and methods of the QA program. Although the QASP is not a part of the contract, it is based on the contract Performance Work Statement, which is part of the contract. The QASP lists contractor activities and the surveillance approach, approximate number of items to be surveyed, and an Acceptable Quality Level (AQL) for each activity.

The installation Director of Public Works (DPW), the Contracting Officer (KO), or the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) often oversees the QASP. The COR/QAE needs an inspection guide to help define and clarify the inspection tasks required by the QASP, and to facilitate inspection uniformity and effectiveness. To meet this need, the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories (USACERL) developed this series of 12 inspection guides.

#### **Objective**

This guide series is intended to supplement any existing QASP and to provide QA guidance for evaluating Operations and Maintenance (O&M) work as performed by contractors on Army property. This wastewater system guide contains recommended surveillance methods that can be amended by direction of the KO or QA management to fit the needs of a specific installation.

#### **Guide Series Organization**

This series includes the following guides by USACERL published in October 1993:

- #1: Water Systems (Special Report [SR] FF-94/01)
- #2: Wastewater Systems
- #3: Natural Gas Distribution Systems (SR FF-94/03)
- #4: Electrical Systems (SR FF-94/04)
- #5: Heating Systems (SR FF-94/05)
- #6: Ventilation, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration Systems (SR FF-94/06)
- **#7:** Building Services (SR FF-94/07)
- #8: Grounds Maintenance (SR FF-94/08)
- #9: Surfaced Areas (SR FF-94/09)

- #10: Refuse and Recyclable Handling (SR FF-94/10)
- #11: Pest Control Services (SR FF-94/11)
- #12: Custodial Services (SR FF-94/12).

The QAE is expected to evaluate a contractor's performance by applying appropriate visual and instrumentation procedures along with necessary technical and interpretive skills. This guide covers QAE inspection of wastewater systems, and is divided into sections that take the inspector through a step-by-step process of recommended performance indicators, inspection tasks, and surveillance methods.

Wastewater systems are divided into two subsystems in this guide:

- 1. Wastewater Collection
- 2. Wastewater Treatment.

General QA information, including detailed explanations of the available surveillance methods, is given in Chapter 2.

Chapter 3 provides performance indicators, inspection tasks, and recommended surveillance approaches for each subsystem.

Appendix A contains sampling inspection tables. Appendix B contains QAE Worksheets for each subsystem; they may be reproduced for field use.

#### 2 GENERAL QA INSPECTION INFORMATION

#### Inspection Organization and Planning

According to custom and standard practice, the contractor submits copies of the previous month's O&M activities and regulatory agency reports to the COR and the QAE. The due dates of these reports control the start of inspection scheduling. If possible, the QAE's inspection should be conducted within 3 days after receiving the reports. Effective coordination will allow more efficient inspection of services. The COR/QAE should look for specific indicators of the contractor's performance and should evaluate that performance based on Detailed Inspection Tasks. The following chapter lists the Performance Indicators and Detailed Inspection Tasks for wastewater systems.

#### **Quality Assurance Surveillance Methods**

The QAE can use the following five surveillance methods to determine contractor performance:

- 1. Random Sampling
- 2. Planned Sampling
- 3. 100 Percent Inspection
- 4. Unscheduled Inspection
- 5. Customer Complaints.

#### Random Sampling

The methods are based on statistical criteria provided in Military Standard (MIL-STD)-105E, Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes (10 May 1989) and are presented as recommendations. The methods used should be based on the unique needs of an individual system. Generally, all five methods are not used to evaluate an individual system.

Random sampling is recommended for situations where many work items are candidates for inspection. For instance, because it is impractical to inspect every roof on an installation with 500 buildings, only a select number of the buildings should be inspected. Likewise, in random sampling, only a portion of the total performed work is inspected. Acceptance of the work is based on the assumption that the inspected items are representative of the quality of the contractor's work. The random sampling technique spreads the selected samples evenly throughout the evaluation period. The following are steps to be used by the QAE in random sampling.

Tables A1 and A2 in Appendix A should be used to determine the number of samples to be inspected and the number of rejects allowed as a function of the number of inspected work items for AQLs of 4 and 10 percent, and the level of surveillance. The three levels of surveillance are: normal, increased (tightened), and reduced. Initially, this guide recommends normal surveillance for random sampling. However, under the direction of the KO, the level of surveillance can be changed depending on the contractor's performance.

As an example, assume that the contractor's total scheduled output (i.e., population size) for a particular work item is 125 units and that the normal surveillance level with an AQL of 4 percent has been selected. According to Table A1, 20 of the 125 units of work should be inspected, and the entire output of 125 units should be rejected if 3 or more of the 20 sample units are not acceptable.

The QA Worksheets in Appendix B provide room to record the population size, the number of samples, the maximum number of rejects, and the interval for each Performance Indicator.

The work planned by the contractor for each maintenance task should be listed by date to make it easier to predict the time when the work samples will be ready for inspection.

#### Planned Sampling

Evaluation by planned sampling inspects some, but not all, of the work activities and is appropriate when the number of work items is large. Some items are evaluated before scheduled completion because they are inaccessible after the work is completed. The COR/QAE subjectively selects key work items for inspection; the sample size is determined arbitrarily.

The COR/QAE will normally use planned sampling when the contractor's performance at selected locations or tasks is poor. With this type of evaluation, the contractor knows that work performed in these areas is more likely to be monitored. Planned sampling provides a systematic way of focing on specific output and forming conclusions about the contractor's performance level.

#### 100 Percent Inspection

Inspection at 100 percent requires total inspection of all items in a contract requirement. It is normally used to monitor infrequent work or critical contract work when the number of work items is small and in cases where nonperformance could seriously damage Army-furnished equipment or processes. It may also be used in areas where a contractor has had prior performance difficulties.

#### Unscheduled Inspection

Unscheduled inspections can be used for areas of poor past contractor performance, noncritical areas, areas of infrequent repairs, or as a follow-up check of previous inspections. If the QAE notices such an area, an unscheduled inspection can be conducted to evaluate contractor performance.

#### Customer Complaints

The customer complaint method is based on an informed and cooperative customer population, that is generally aware of local contract requirements. Customers are expected to monitor contractor services and, when performance is poor or nonexistent, to notify the COR/QAE. If investigation reveals that the complaint is valid, the COR/QAE documents the deficiency. Since this is a reactive QA inspection approach, this method of surveillance normally supplements planned inspection methods.

#### **Increased Surveillance**

For areas of poor past contractor performance, the QAE should consult with the KO to intensify the surveillance method. More than one option is usually available, and selection should be based on the initial method and the amount of work performed.

- 1. Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance) can be replaced by:
  - Random Sampling (Increased Surveillance)
  - Planned Sampling (for a large population size)

- 100 Percent Inspection (for a small population size)
- Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size).
- 2. Planned Sampling can be replaced by:
  - Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance)
  - 100 Percent Inspection (for a small population size)
  - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size).
- 3. Unscheduled Inspections can be replaced by:
  - 100 Percent Inspection (for a small population size)
  - Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance)
  - Planned Sampling.

#### **Decreased Surveillance**

For work areas in which the contractor maintains a consistently satisfactory performance for 3 to 6 months, the QAE should consult with the KO to decrease the intensity of the surveillance. More than one option is usually available and selection should be based on the initial method and the amount of work performed.

- 1. Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance) can be replaced by:
  - Random Sampling (Reduced Surveillance)
  - Planned Sampling
  - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
  - Customer Complaints.
- 2. Planned Sampling can be replaced by:
  - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
  - Customer Complaints.
- 3. 100 Percent Inspection can be replaced by:
  - Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance)
  - Random Sampling (Reduced Surveillance)
  - Planned Sampling
  - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
  - Customer Complaints.

#### 3 WASTEWATER SYSTEM QA INSPECTIONS

#### **Wastewater Collection**

Performance Indicators and Detailed Inspection Tasks

The following numeric items are performed by the contractor. The related detailed inspection tasks are used by the QAE to verify the contractor's performance.

1. The Preventive Maintenance (PM) checklists and logs are complete, legible, and timely.

Verify that the contractor's PM checklists and logs are complete, legible, and timely. All tasks must be listed, dated, designated as completed, and initialed by the person(s) who performed or accepted the work. Check the reports and logs for completeness in the following areas:

- a. Description of normal inspection and maintenance of the sewer mains, service lines, and lift stations.
- b. Reports of corrective actions taken if stoppages, failures, or overloading occur.
- c. Records indicating leaks, new service lines, and locations of potential cross-connections.
- d. Records of the flushing, rooting, and cleaning of sewer mains.
- e. Records of annual hydrocleaning of wastewater collection lines and sludge removal from septic tanks.
- 2. An adequate spare parts inventory is being maintained in case of emergency repairs.

Verify that the contractor is maintaining an adequate inventory of wastewater collection spare parts (i.e., piping, fittings, etc.) for emergency repairs. The number and types of spare parts should be determined locally based on installed equipment records and appropriate recommendations/advice found in TM 5-660, TM 5-661, and TM 5-813-5. All sewer main sizes should be represented.

3. The manhole maintenance is satisfactory.

Verify the effectiveness of manhole maintenance using the following indicators:

- a. The manhole frame is tightly sealed to the masonry structure, and masonry joints in the manhole structure are properly sealed with mortar or bituminous material.
- b. The flow channel in the bottom of the manhole is free of accumulated material, allowing smooth flow from all incoming lines into the receiving main.

Note: Safety precautions require that all personnel be accompanied when inspecting underground facilities.

Use two lift bars when opening manhole covers. Exercise care when handling the cover because it is heavy and tends to flip over.

4. The lift station and sewage-handling equipment maintenance is satisfactory.

Verify the effectiveness of lift station and sewage-handling equipment maintenance in areas where:

- a. Recent inspections have shown deficiencies,
- b. No inspections have been conducted in the past 90 days, and/or
- c. Sewage backups or overflows have been reported.

Note: Safety precautions require that all personnel be accompanied when inspecting underground facilities.

#### Lift Stations

- a. Pumping Chamber Inspections. When inspecting lift stations, one member of the inspection team should remain aboveground in case of an accident. Ventilating fans, if present, should operate for at least 1 minute before entering the pump chamber. Verify that:
  - (1) The pump chamber is well lighted, and steps, handrails, and gratings are solidly in place.
  - (2) Litter or discarded repair parts have not accumulated.
  - (3) All exposed metal surfaces are free of rust or peeling paint.
  - (4) If the wet well is part of the pump chamber, check that the water level is normal and look for high-water marks that might indicate recent flooding.
- b. Wet Well Inspections. Wet wells are holding chambers for wastewater coming into a pumping station; they provide a collection point for grit and heavy solids that could damage lift pumps. Check that:
  - (1) The water level is normal and there are no high-water marks that might indicate recent flooding.
  - (2) The top of the sludge accumulation is always a minimum of 18 in. below the pump suction line. Each lift station should have a probe to measure the depth of sludge accumulated in the bottom of the wet well.

Sewage-Handling Equipment. Compare the frequency and cost of required repairs for sewage-handling equipment with historical records as a measure of the contractor's effectiveness. If the interval between repairs has decreased significantly, notify the KO. If historical data is unavailable, begin a repair file on each major component for future reference.

5. Sanitary sewer line and sewage-handling equipment problems are promptly identified, the equipment is efficiently repaired, and the system is restored to service.

Verify that sanitary sewer line and sewage-handling equipment problems are promptly identified, the equipment is efficiently repaired, and the system is restored to service. Repair work performed under a work order should be evaluated by unscheduled inspections of the individual work orders. Visit the repair site to verify that the work is being performed diligently with minimal service interruption. When the repair work is finished, check that the construction area is clear of debris and excavated areas are graded to match the surrounding area. Recheck the site later to see that excavated areas that have settled have been reshaped.

6. Cathodic protection for wastewater tanks and underground conductive piping is adequate.

Check all wastewater tank and underground conductive piping cathodic protection equipment to ensure that adequate protection against corrosion still exists.

7. "As-built" drawings are updated with changes and corrections.

Verify that the contractor maintains current "as-built" drawings of wastewater collection facilities and equipment. Check to see that the drawings are updated annually with all changes and corrections. The draftperson's initials and the date should accompany each change.

8. An adequate library of equipment manufacturers' manuals is being maintained.

Verify that the contractor maintains an adequate library of manufacturers' manuals for equipment and facilities. Manuals should be obtained for newly installed equipment, and obsolete manuals should be discarded.

#### Recommended Surveillance Approach

- Evaluate performance indicators #1 and #2 monthly using the 100 percent inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicator #3 monthly using random sampling (normal surveillance, 10 percent AOL).
- Evaluate performance indicators #4 and #5 periodically using the unscheduled inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicators #6 through #8 annually using the 100 percent inspection method.

#### **Wastewater Treatment**

Performance Indicators and Detailed Inspection Tasks

The following numeric items are performed by the contractor. The related detailed inspection tasks are used by the QAE to verify the contractor's performance.

1. The PM logs and checklists are complete, acceptable, and timely.

Verify that the contractor's PM logs and checklists are complete, legible, and timely. All tasks must be listed, dated, designated as completed, and initialed by the person(s) who performed or accepted the work. Check for the following documentation:

a. Descriptions of normal inspections and O&M activities of the wastewater treatment plant, including copies of laboratory analyses of effluent samples.

- b. Reports of corrective actions taken in the event of equipment failure, overloading, or failure to meet National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit requirements for effluent quality.
- c. Recommended improvements to the treatment plant which will increase its performance, or repairs to damaged or deteriorated components,
- 2. The plant equipment repair frequency is satisfactory.

Evaluate the contractor's repair effectiveness by comparing the frequency and cost of required repairs with historical records of the wastewater treatment plants. If the interval between repairs has decreased significantly, notify the KO. If historical data is unavailable, start a repair file on each major component for future reference.

3. The operation and maintenance of wastewater treatment facilities is satisfactory.

Visit all wastewater treatment facilities monthly and evaluate the effectiveness of the contractor's O&M for each plant based on the following:

- a. General. Check that the buildings and grounds look neat and are free of litter:
  - (1) Grassed areas around the buildings and plant components are mowed and trimmed.
  - (2) Buildings and exposed pipes are free of rust and peeling, deteriorated paint.
  - (3) Cleaning equipment and debris from repairs are removed from the site.
- b. Bar Screens. Verify that material screened from the incoming wastewater was removed from the bar screens and placed in a container for disposal.
- c. Grit Removal. Check that the amount of sediment collected in the grit removal channel since the last cleaning is less than 1/4 in.
- d. Comminutor. Verify that:
  - (1) Lubrication fittings are clean and bright, indicating frequent use, and their surfaces show a film of lubricant.
  - (2) The comminutor is operating smoothly and quietly, and the cutters are clear of debris.
- e. Primary Clarifiers. Check that:
  - (1) The water surface in the clarifiers is reasonably clear of floating solids.
  - (2) Material removed from the surface is placed in containers for disposal.
  - (3) Gas bubbles are rising from the sludge digestion process in the bottom of the tanks to the water surface.
  - (4) Accumulated sludge is at least 12 in. below the bottom of the baffles.

- f. Filters. Verify that:
  - (1) The distribution system spreads the wastewater evenly across the filter bed.
  - (2) The movement of the distribution equipment is smooth and quiet.
  - (3) Lubrication points are clean and bright, indicating frequent use.
  - (4) Large numbers of flies are not present.
  - (5) The filter's surface does not contain ponded water.
- g. Secondary Clarifiers. Check to see that:
  - (1) The water surface in the secondary clarifiers is reasonably clear of floating solids.
  - (2) Material removed from the surface is placed in containers for disposal.
  - (3) Gas bubbles are not rising from the bottom of the clarifier tanks to the water surface, which indicates an excess of settled sludge.
- h. Pumping Equipment. Verify that:
  - (1) Pumps, piping, brackets, handrails, and other metal surfaces are painted and free of rust.
  - (2) The pump room is free of accumulated debris and is washed clean.
  - (3) Pumps and motors are running smoothly and quietly without unusual grinding, scraping, or squealing noises. Noise or excessive vibration during operation might indicate a bearing or shaft alignment problem. QA instrumentation is especially recommended to measure lift station pump operation:
    - (a) Motor vibration by attached sensors or portable probes
    - (b) Motor heating by thermocouple or infrared (IR) measures
    - (c) Shaft vibration (misalignment) by forked probe vibration meter
    - (d) Bearing condition by shock & frequency measures.
  - (4) Lubrication reservoirs are more than half full, and lubricant flow is visible when the pumps are running. Grease fittings are clean and bright, indicating frequent use, and have a slight film of residual lubricant on the surface.
  - (5) Pump air inlets, air impellers, and heat exchange surfaces are clean of dust and dirt that may impede the pump from functioning efficiently.
  - (6) Pipe networks and tanks within the wastewater plants show no signs of leakage.

4. Effluent quality reports show that National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit requirements are met.

Verify that effluent samples meet NPDES permit requirements. Besides checking the documentation for compliance with effluent standards, collect an effluent sample monthly and deliver it to a licensed testing laboratory for analysis.

5. Plant equipment problems are promptly identified, the equipment is efficiently repaired, and the system is restored to service.

Verify that plant equipment problems are promptly identified, the equipment is efficiently repaired, and the system is restored to service. Evaluate the contractor's repair performance using unscheduled inspections of individual work orders. Visit the site of the selected repair to verify that the work is being performed diligently with minimal service interruption. After completion of the repair work, verify that the construction area is clear of debris and that any excavated areas are graded to match the surrounding area. Recheck the site later to see that excavated areas that have settled are reshaped.

6. "As-built" drawings are updated with changes and corrections.

Verify that the contractor maintains current "as-built" drawings of wastewater treatment facilities and equipment. See that the drawings are updated annually with all changes and corrections. The draftperson's initials and the date should accompany each change.

7. An adequate library of equipment manufacturer's manuals is being maintained.

Verify that the contractor maintains an adequate library of manufacturer's manuals for equipment and facilities. Manuals should be obtained for newly installed equipment, and obsolete manuals should be discarded.

#### Recommended Surveillance Approach

- Evaluate performance indicators #1 through #4 monthly using the 100 percent inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicator #5 periodically using the unscheduled inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicators #6 and #7 annually using the 100 percent inspection method.

#### METRIC CONVERSION TABLE

1 in. = 2.54 cm 1 gal = 3.78 L 1 psi = 6.89 kPa

 $^{\circ}F = (^{\circ}C + 17.78) \times 1.9$ 

#### **ACRONYMS**

AQL Acceptable Quality Level

COR Contracting Officer's Representative

DEH Director of Engineering and Housing

KO Contracting Officer

MIL-STD Military Standard

O&M Operations and Maintenance

QA Quality Assurance

QAE Quality Assurance Evaluator

QASP QA Surveillance Plan

#### REFERENCES

- Johnson, James, Special Report FF-93/DRAFT, Catalog of Industrial Instrumentation for Army Real Property Quality Assurance Applications (U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, 1993).
- Military Standard 105E, Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes (Department of Defense, 10 May 1989).
- Technical Manual (TM) 5-660, Maintenance and Operation of Water Supply, Treatment, and Distribution Systems (Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [HQUSACE], 30 August 1984).
- TM 5-661, Inspection and Preventive Maintenance Services for Water Supply Systems at Fixed Installations (HQUSACE, 21 September 1945).
- TM 5-813-5, Water Supply: Water Distribution (HQUSACE, 3 November 1986).

#### APPENDIX A: Inspection Sampling Tables

Table A1

Sample Sizes and Reject Levels (4% AQL)
(As developed from Tables I & II in MIL STD 105E)

	Increased Normal Surveillance (Tightened) Surve									
Population Size	Class II Sample Size		Reject Level	Class III Sample Size		Reject Level	Class I Sample Size		Reject Level	
08 to 50	•	25%	1	•	40%	1	•	-	•	
51 to 90	E	13	2	F	20	2	•	3%	1	
91 to 150	F	20	3	G	32	3	•	3%	1	
151 to 280	G	32	4	Н	50	4	E	5	2	
281 to 500	H	50	6	J	80	6	P	8	3	
501 to 1200	J	80	8	K	125	9	G	13	4	
1201 to 3200	K	125	11	L	200	13	Н	20	5	

The Reject Level is the number of failed inspections requiring rejection of the Lot (population).

An asterisk (\*) indicates that the sample level is outside the range of a 4% AQL for the selected class.

Table A2

Sample Sizes and Reject Levels (10% AQL)
(As developed from Tables I & II in MIL STD 105E)

	Normal Surveillance			Increased Tightened Surveillance			Reduced Surveillance		
Population Size		Class II Reject Sample Size Level		Class III Sample Size		Reject Level	Class I Sample Size		Reject Level
06 to 15	•	33%	1	•	50%	1	• .	-	_
16 to 25	С	5	2	D	8	2	•	8%	1
26 to 50	D	8	3	E	13	3	С	2	2
51 to 90	E	13	4	F	20	4	С	2	2
91 to 150	F	20	6	G	32	6	D	3	3
151 to 280	G	32	8	Н	50	9	E	5	4
281 to 500	Н	50	11	J	80	13	P	8	5
501 to 1200	J	80	15	K	125	19	G	13	6
1201 to 3200	K	125	22	L	200	19	Н	20	8

The Reject Level is the number of failed inspections that require rejection of the Lot (population). An asterisk (\*) indicates that the sample level is outside the range of a 10% AQL for the selected class.

Table A3

#### Random Numbers

#### water Collection Worksheet

			wastewater Collection	WOFKSHEEL	rage 1 01 3
D6	T	-A #1.	The DM shouldest and local	an annulate logible and	Almania.
			The PM checklists and logs	•	umery.
<b>a</b> .	•		s are dated and initialed by t	ine operator(s).	
	S.	U	N		
			includes descriptions of nor	rmal inspection and mainte	nance of the sewer
mains, se	ervice lines,	and lift	tations.		
	S	U	N		
C.	The docu	mentatio	includes reports of corrective	ve actions taken if stoppage	es, failures, or
overloadi	ing occur.				
	S	U	N		
d.	. The docu	mentatio	includes records of leaks, n	ew service lines, and locati	ions of potential
cross-cor	nections.				
	S	U	N		
e.	The docu	mentation	includes records of the flush	hing, rooting, and cleaning	of sewer mains.
	S	U	N		
f.	The docur	nentation	includes records of annual h	hydro-cleaning of wastewat	er collection lines
and sludg	ge removal:	from sep	ic tanks.		
•	S	บ	N		
Remarks	:				
		ator #2:	An adequate spare parts inve	entory is being maintained	in case of
emergeno	cy repairs.				

S U N

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$ S = Satisfactory, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not applicable. Circle one rating for each item.

#### Wastewater Collection Worksheet

Performance Indicator #3: The manhole maintenance is satisfactory.

- a. The manhole frame is tightly sealed to the masonry structure, and masonry joints in the manhole structure are properly sealed with mortar or bituminous material.
- b. The flow channel in the bottom of the manhole is free of accumulated material, and it allows smooth flow from all incoming lines into the receiving main.

gives, and referring to norm givesnumber of samples andnumber of allowable re LOCATION		ice in 1	adies A1 a	ng AZ
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	s	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	

Performance Indicator #4: The lift station and sewage-handling equipment maintenance is satisfactory.

- a. Lift Station Pumping Chamber:
  - (1) The pump chamber is well lighted, and steps, handrails, and gratings are solidly in place.
  - (2) Litter or discarded repair parts have not accumulated.
  - (3) All exposed metal surfaces are free of rust or peeling paint.
- b. Lift Station Wet Well:
  - (1) The water is normal and there are no high-water marks that might indicate recent flooding.
  - (2) The top of the sludge is sufficiently below the pump suction line.
- c. Sewage-Handling Equipment: The frequency and cost of required repairs is satisfactory. LOCATION

3	U	1.4
S	U	N
S	U	N
 S	U	N
S	U	N
S	U	N
S	U	N
S	U	N

Performance Indicator #5: Sanitary sewer line and sewage-handling equipment repairs are promptly identified, efficiently repaired, and restored to service.

radicined, directing, repaired, and restored to servi	•••			
a. Repair work is being performed diligent	ly with minimal service i	nterrupt	ion.	
b. The construction area is clear of debris.				
c. Excavated areas that have settled are resi	haped.			
LOCATION	-			
	S	U	N	
		U	N	
	•	Ü	N	
		U	N	
		U	N	
		U	N	
		Ŭ	N	
		U	N	
		Ŭ	• •	
Remarks:				
icinars.				
		•		•
Performance Indicator #6: Cathodic protection fo	or wastewater tanks and t	indergro	una condi	ictive
piping is adequate.				
LOCATION				
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	6	U	N	
		U	N	
		<b>T</b> T	N.T	

a					wings are updated with changes and corrections.  Dany each change.
		s	U	N	
b	. The	e date o	of chang	ge accompanies	each correction.
		S	U	N	
marks	:				
rform intain		Indicat	tor #8:	An adequate li	ibrary of equipment manufacturers' manuals is being
a.	Ma	nuals fo	r new e	equipment are o	btained.
		S	U	N	
b.	. Ob:	solete n	nanuals	are properly dis	scarded.
		S	U	N	
marks:	:				
					Quality Assurance Evaluator

Date

Perforn	nar	ice Indicator	r #1: T	he P	M logs and checklists are complete	, legible	e, and ti	mely.
а	l	All performe	d tasks	are (	dated and initialed by the operator(s	).		
		S	U	N				
t	).	Documentation	on inclu	ides	descriptions of normal inspections a	nd acti	vities, ir	ncluding copies
of labora	ato	ry analyses o	f efflue	nt sa	umples.			
		S	U	N				
c	. 1	Documentatio	on inclu	des	reports of corrective actions taken in	n the ev	ent of e	equipment
failure, o	ove	rloading, or	failure t	o m	eet NPDES permit requirements.			
		S	U	N				
d	<b>i.</b> ]	Documentation	on inclu	des	recommended improvements to the	treatm	ent plan	t.
		S	U	N	•		-	
Remarks	3:							
Perform	an	ce Indicator	#2: T	he pi	lant equipment repair frequency is s	atisfact	ory.	
		LOCAT	ION					
	-					S	U	N
						S	U	N
						S	U	N
						S	U	N
						S	U	N
						S	U	N
						S	U	N
						S	U	N
						-	-	
Remarks	•							
	•							

#### Wastewater Treatment Worksheet

Performance satisfactory.	Indicator #3: The operation and maintenance o	of the	wastewa	iter trea	tment fa	cilities is
a.	General areas are satisfactory.					
b.	The bar screens are satisfactory.					
c.	Grit removal is satisfactory.					
d.	The comminutor is satisfactory.					
e.	The primary clarifiers are satisfactory.					
f.	The filters are satisfactory.					
g.	The secondary clarifiers are satisfactory.					
h.	The pumping equipment is satisfactory.					
	LOCATION					
			S	U	N	
			S	U	N	
		_	S	U	N	
		_	S	U	N	
			S	U	N	
		_	S	U	N	
			S	U	N	
			S	U	N	
		_	S	U	N	
			S	U	N	
		_	S	U	N	

Remarks:

S

S

S

U

U

U

U

U

N

N

N

 $\mathbf{N}$ 

N

Performance Indicator #4: Effluent quality reports show that NI	PDES per	mit requ	irements a	re met.
a. The testing reports are complete.				
b. The effluent sample collected meets NPDES standards.				
LOCATION				
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
Remarks:				
Performance Indicator #5: Plant equipment problems are prompt	tly identif	fied, effi	ciently rep	aired,
and the system is restored to service.			•	
a. Repair work is being performed diligently with minimal	service i	nterrupti	on.	
b. The construction area is clear of debris.		•		
c. Excavated areas that have settled are reshaped.				
LOCATION				
	S	U	N	
	S	Ū	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	U	N	
	S	•	A.T.	

						changes and co	rrections.
а				ompany each cl	nange.		
	S	U	N				
b	. The date	of change	e accompan	ues each correc	ction.		
	S	U	N				
Remarks	:						
- 4							
		cator #7:	An adequat	te library of eq	uipment man	ufacturers' man	uals is being
maintain		_					
a.				ave been obtain	ned.		
	S	U	N				
b.	o. Obsolete manuals are properly discarded.						
	S	U	N				
Remarks	•						
					Quality Assur	ance Evaluator	

Date

#### **USACERL DISTRIBUTION**

Chief of Engineers

ATTN: CEHEC-IM-LH (2) ATTN: CEHEC-IM-LP (2)

ATTN: CERD-L

**CECPW 22060** 

ATTN: CECPW-FM-S
ATTN: CECPW-FM
ATTN: CECPW-FB
ATTN: CECPW-FU
ATTN: CECPW-F-DPN

US Army Engr District ATTN: Library (40)

US Army Engr Division ATTN: Library (13)

INSCOM

ATTN: IALOG-I 22060 ATTN: IAV-DEH 22186

HQ XVIII Airborne Corps 28307

ATTN: AFZA-DEH-EE

US Army Materiel Command (AMC)

Alexandria, VA 22333-0001

ATTN: AMCEN-F Installations:

ATTN: DEH (19)

Rocky Mountain Arsenal 8002

ATTN: AMCPM-RM
Pine Bluff Arsenal 71602
ATTN: SMCPB-EH

**FORSCOM** 

Forts Gillem & McPherson 30330

ATTN: FCEN
Installations:
ATTN: DEH (23)

National Guard Bureau 20310 ATTN: Installations Div

Fort Belvoir 22060 ATTN: CECC-R 22060

TRADOC

Fort Monroe 23651 ATTN: ATBO-G

Installations:

ATTN: DEH (20)

USARPAC 96858

ATTN: DEH ATTN: APEN-A

HQ USEUCOM 09128

ATTN: ECJ4-LIE

AMMRC 02172 ATTN: DRXMR-AF ATTN: DRXMR-WE

CEWES 39180 ATTN: Library

CECRL 03755 ATTN: Library

USA AMCOM

ATTN: Facilities Engr 21719 ATTN: AMSMC-IR 61299 ATTN: Facilities Engr (3) 85613

USAARMC 40121 ATTN: ATZIC-EHA

Military Traffic Mgmt Command ATTN: MTEA-GB-EHP 07002 ATTN: MT-LOF 20315 ATTN: MTE-SU-FE 28461 ATTN: MTW-IE 94626

Military Dist of WASH

Fort McNair

**ATTN: ANEN 20319** 

Norton AFB 92409 ATTN: Library

Engr Societies Library
ATTN: Acquisitions 10017

Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: NADS 20305

Defense Logistics Agency ATTN: DLA-WI 22304

US Military Academy 10996

ATTN: MAEN-A

ATTN: Facilities Engineer

ATTN: Geography & Envr Engrg

Naval Facilities Engr Command

ATTN: Facilities Engr Command (8)
ATTN: Division Offices (11)

ATTN: Public Works Center (8)
ATTN: Naval Constr Battalion Ctr

93043

ATTN: Naval Civil Engr Service

Center (3) 93043

Tyndall AFB 32403

ATTN: HQAFCESA Program Ofc

ATTN: Engrg & Srvc Lab

US Gov't Printing Office 20401 ATTN: Rec Sec/Deposit Sec (2)

Defense Tech Info Center 22304

ATTN: DTIC-FAB (2)

197 10/93